

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of MARIONI

Application No.

Examiner:

Filed: Herewith

Group Art Unit:

For: METHOD FOR DETECTING UNBALANCED CONDITIONS OF A ROTATING LOAD DRIVEN BY A SYNCHRONOUS MOTOR AND FOR CONTROLLING SAID MOTOR

SUBMISSION OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION

Mail Stop PCT
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please find attached a copy of the International application as published. Please note that these claims are for informational purposes only, as they are amended in the Annex to the International Preliminary Report on Patentability.

Dated: 12/7/05

Docket No. 7202-99

Respectfully submitted,



Mark D. Passler
Registration No. 40,764
Sarah E. Smith
Registration No. 50,488
AKERMAN SENTERFITT
Post Office Box 3188
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188
Tel: (561) 653-5000

CLAIMS

1. Method for detecting unbalanced conditions of a rotating load driven by a synchronous electric motor (3) in washing machines (1) and similar household appliances including a rotably drum (2) and wherein at least a transient step is provided with angular speed (w) variation of the rotably drum (2), characterised by the following steps:

- constantly monitoring and detecting the instantaneous current (I_q) absorbed by the motor;

10 - calculating in real time the value of an unbalanced mass (m) on the basis of the variation (Δ) of said current (I_q) and starting from a predetermined reference obtained by experimental results and by applying a calculation formula representative of the kind of load imbalance;

15 - checking that said value of unbalanced mass is lower than a predetermined acceptable reference value ($\Delta(I_q1)AMM$) and slowing down the angular speed (w) of said drum in case of negative result;

20 - said current (I_q) driving as a feedback signal said motor (3) according to said value of unbalanced mass (m) adjusting the angular revolution speed of the motor in real or continuous time.

25 2. Method according to claim 1, characterised in that it provides a comparison between the standard deviation (σ) of said current (I_q) with a predetermined reference stored in a memory unit including for example an average value of this current (I_q) or a predetermined threshold value.

30 3. Method according to claim 1, characterised in that the imbalance signal is computed as a difference between the last sampled value of the current signal (I_q), in the time instant wherein the absolute value of the first derivate of said current signal (I_q) is minor than a predetermined threshold and the second derivate of the same signal I_q is positive, and

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

ASK072BWO/MAB

AMENDED SHEET

the last sampled value of said current signal (I_q) in the time instant wherein the absolute value of the first derivate of said current signal (I_q) is minor than a predetermined threshold and the second derivate of the same signal I_q is negative

5. 4. Method according to claim 1, characterised in that the measure of said unbalanced mass (m) occurs at first by measuring said current (I_q) variation (Δ) with a low number of drum revolutions.

10. 5. Method according to claim 4, characterised in that said low number of revolutions is comprised between 60 and 80 revolutions per minute.

15. 6. Method according to claim 4, characterised in that it provides a step for controlling that the measured variation ($\Delta(I_{q1})$) at a low number of revolutions is lower than a predetermined acceptable reference value ($\Delta(I_{q1})_{AMM}$) and a subsequent order of slowing down the drum rotation speed (w) if this check gives a negative result.

20. 7. Method according to claim 4, characterised in that it provides a step for controlling that the measured variation ($\Delta(I_{q1})$) at a low number of revolutions is lower than a predetermined acceptable reference value and a subsequent order of gradually increasing the drum revolving speed (w) if the control gives a positive result.

8. Method according to claim 7, characterised in that the gradual speed increase continues until about 150 revolutions per minute are reached.

25. 9. Method according to claim 7, characterised in that it provides a step of further controlling that the measured variation ($\Delta(I_{q2})$) at increased number of revolutions is lower than a second predetermined acceptable reference value ($\Delta(I_{q2})_{AMM}$).

30. 10. Method according to claim 9, characterised in that it provides a centrifugal step at reduced rotation speed if said further control gives a negative result.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

ASK072BWO/MAB

AMENDED SHEET

11. Method according to claim 9, characterised in that it provides that a centrifugal step is started if said further control gives a positive result.

5 12. Method according to claim 9, characterised in that it provides a slow down, without stop, of the drum (2) rotation speed in order to cause a new load distribution if said further control gives a positive result.

13. Method according to claim 10, characterised in that it provides a steady monitoring of said measured variation ($\Delta(I_{q2})$) in the centrifugal step at reduced speed.

10 14. Method according to claim 2, characterised in that the comparison between the variation (Δ) and said current (I_q) occurs both in static unbalanced conditions and in dynamic unbalanced conditions.

15 15. Method according to claim 14, characterised in that the one variation operator is the standard deviation operator (σ) and is drawn, for a dynamic imbalance, from the following relation:

$$\sigma(I_q)_{\text{dynamic}} = m * K_2 * w^\alpha + K_0$$

Where: K_0 , K_2 and α are known constant experimentally-determined values, w is the rotation speed and m is said unbalanced mass.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

ASK072BWO/MAB

AMENDED SHEET